



August 6th 2018

## Antitrust Policy Notice

- › Linux Foundation meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Linux Foundation to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of, and not participate in, any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- › Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at <http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of the Linux Foundation, feel free to contact Andrew Updegrave of the firm of Gesmer Updegrave LLP, which provides legal counsel to the Linux Foundation.

# Agenda

- Roll call
- Project Update
- Specification Work Team
- Conformance Work Team

# Project Update

- Three workshops in Taipei during three days 9-12th August
- Japanese Work Group meeting on 31st August @ Fujitsu
- Several conformance announcements expected this month

## Specification Work Team

### Training requirement discussion

- Position 2: *Identified the key roles in your organization that organize others and are responsible for the outcome.* Further we propose to identify a management stakeholder. All these key roles are informed and trained specifically for their position and responsibility. They require materials to guide others and to define the general policy on handling open source. We would consider a set of training guidelines for different positions.

# Specification Work Team

## Training requirement discussion (con't)

- Roles and their respective responsibilities can vary from organization to organization. Some potential roles include: developers, release engineers, legal advisors, project management, procurement, ...
- We MAY want to identify a minimum set of relevant roles (critical to open source compliance) – e.g., developers, legal advisors, ...
- Relevant personnel should be trained – The future spec would NOT be as prescriptive as to provide a set of training topics to cover for a given role (like we currently do in version 1.2 of the spec).
- Each organization would be responsible for: 1) identifying which roles impact open source compliance; 2) designing and implementing training for each role; 3) evaluate the competency (and the cadence of the evaluation) for each role

# Specification Work Team

- Consider ISO model
- ISO 9001:2015 - Quality Management System
- OpenChain Spec - Quality FOSS Compliance Artifact Program
- Complementary: Both focus on the quality of deliverables
  - ISO: (software) products
  - OpenChain Spec: compliance artifacts
- ISO 9001:2015
  - 7.2 Competence
  - 7.3 Awareness

# Specification Work Team

## 7.2 Competence

see 7.2 overview here: <http://www.praxiom.com/iso-9001.htm>

## 7.3 Awareness

see 7.3 overview here: <http://www.praxiom.com/iso-9001.htm>



# Conformance Work Team

Continued discussion: What is an “organization”?



Thoughts?  
Questions?